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ABSTRACT

We report unparalleled travel, force, precision and repeatability with an electrostatically actuated dipole surface drive. We have successfully demonstrated motors that generate several hundred micronewtons while traveling 50 microns at 60 V. Without external feedback control, the motors can be positioned with nanometer resolution and repeatability. The manufacture of these motors uses a two-level polysilicon deposition, a wafer bond and two anisotropic deep silicon etches.

INTRODUCTION

An increasing variety of applications require large travel MEMS actuators with excellent precision and rapid positioning. Examples are miniature tunable lasers [1], low-cost portable imaging systems for scanning probe microscopes [2-5], optical data storage applications [6], and actuators for tilting mirrors [7, 8]. A variety of actuators have been proposed to satisfy the requirements of these applications. Most notably, Grade et al. [6] have produced a single axis electrostatic comb drive that traverses 200 µm in less than 1 ms at 150 V. Rodgers et al. [9] have developed a compact comb drive that generates 1.5 mN/mm² at 100 V. It has a range of motion of several microns. These actuators provide excellent performance. However, micromotors are required that can carry substantial loads and develop greater forces at reasonable drive voltages.

We previously reported a proof-of-concept electrostatic surface drive that operated as a stepper motor and achieved excellent conversion of applied voltage to applied force [10]. In that device, flexure width and thickness were not well controlled and the motor was assembled from individual stator and translator dies. Furthermore, when a bias voltage of more than 10 V was applied to the chrome motor electrodes in air, water would condense onto the electrodes and cause them to dissolve. This effect was most likely due to the large electrostatic fields and field gradients present in the dipole motor. For these reasons, that first motor was unsuitable for manufacture and incapable of providing either large force or large travel.

BASIC DESCRIPTION AND METHOD OF OPERATION

In this paper, we describe the theory and method of operation, the manufacturing process, and the characteristic performance of the dipole stepper motor. A variety of flexure designs have been tested. A top view of a typical assembled motor is shown in Figure 1. The upper surface of the 100-µm-thick translator is smooth and flat, making it ideal for the mounting of other objects such as mirrors, gratings, and scanning probe samples. The motor is configured so that the x translator is attached to a rigid frame by 2.5-µm-wide folded beam flexures. The y translator is similarly attached to the x translator. The driving forces for these translators arise from the interactions between fringing fields created on the surfaces of both the translator and the stator. To achieve these fields, long strip electrodes oriented along the y and x directions are formed along the x and y translators, respectively. As shown in Figure 2, similarly oriented electrodes are formed on the stator surface. The flexures support the translator over the stator surface and allow it to move in the x-y plane relative to the stator.

The electrostatic model describing the operation of this motor has been developed in detail elsewhere [10]. We configure the electrodes on the bottom of the translator so

Figure 1. Scanning electron micrograph of the top surface of the translator. The central x-y stage is 1.2 × 1.2 mm².

Figure 2. (a) Schematic cross-section of the dipole stepper motor. The gap between the translator and stator is approximately 2.5 microns. (b) SEM of the dipole motor after the translator has been removed showing one of the several flexures that support the translator over the stator electrodes.
that every other electrode is at the bias voltage while alternate electrodes are held at ground. This dipole voltage pattern is shown in Figure 3. The stator electrodes are arranged so that each is electrically connected to the electrode 7 electrodes away. Moreover, the stator pitch is chosen so that for every 6 translator electrodes there are 7 stator electrodes. For the particular motors described in this paper, the translator electrode pitch is changed from a basic step size, the voltage on one electrode can be changed by a fraction of $V_b$. The linearity of the potential and translator positions with respect to the voltage applied to the electrode to better than 1 part in 300. An example of this “microstepping” is shown in Table 1 and Figure 8. Changing from pattern C to Pattern D shifts the rest position of the motor 0.1$\mu$m or 40 nm. A rudimentary 8-bit DAC provides a resolution of better than 1.5 nm.

**FABRICATION**

The stator is first formed as shown in Figure 5(a) using a two-level doped polysilicon process in which the stator electrodes and associated interconnects are patterned. A 2.5 $\mu$m thick oxide layer is then deposited. As shown in Figure 5(b), this dielectric is patterned in two levels with the highest level of the dielectric forming standoffs that set the height between the translator and stator. The lower dielectric level forms bumpers that ensure that, even during snap-in, shorting between the translator and stator electrodes is prevented. The final processing step for the stator, Figure 5(c), is to electroplate 2.5 $\mu$m of Au followed by 1.2 $\mu$m Sn.

The initial translator wafer, Figure 5(d), consists of single-level doped polysilicon on a silicon-on-insulator (SOI) wafer with a 100-$\mu$m-thick device layer and 380-$\mu$m-thick handle wafer. Flexures with a 40:1 aspect ratio are then formed, as shown in Figure 5(e) using the Bosch process in an STS ASE etcher. The large aspect ratio is required because there is substantial attractive force between the translator and stator as shown in Figure 4. Though the target width is 2.5 $\mu$m, variations in photolithography and the etch process cause the actual flexure thickness to range from 1.0 $\mu$m to 2.5 $\mu$m. A stop-on-oxide process is used to ensure that the flexures are not undercut when the etch terminates on the oxide underneath the device layer.

As shown in Figure 5(f), the translator is released by a deep silicon etch of the handle wafer followed by a vapor HF etch of the silicon oxide. The completed stator and translator wafers are bonded together at 320°C using a Au-

**Table 1. Voltage patterns applied to the electrodes shown in Figure 3.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stator Electrode Voltage</th>
<th>$V_1$</th>
<th>$V_2$</th>
<th>$V_3$</th>
<th>$V_4$</th>
<th>$V_5$</th>
<th>$V_6$</th>
<th>$V_7$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pattern A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$V_b$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$V_b$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$V_b$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pattern B</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$V_b$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$V_b$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$V_b$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pattern C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$V_b$</td>
<td>$V_b$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$V_b$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$V_b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pattern D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.9$V_b$</td>
<td>$V_b$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$V_b$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$V_b$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Lateral force ($F_x$) and out-of-plane force ($F_z$) calculated as functions of translator position for a bias voltage of 40V, a gap of 2.4 $\mu$m, a motor area of 1.84 mm$^2$ and a translator pitch of 2.8 $\mu$m.

original voltage pattern, Pattern A, is recovered and the motor will have moved from equilibrium point a to equilibrium point b in Figure 4.

To move the motor in increments of less than the 400 nm basic step size, the voltage on one electrode can be changed by a fraction of $V_b$. The linearity of the potential and translator positions with respect to the voltage applied to this electrode to better than 1 part in 300. An example of this “microstepping” is shown in Table 1 and Figure 8. Changing from pattern C to Pattern D shifts the rest position of the motor 0.1$\mu$m or 40 nm. A rudimentary 8-bit DAC provides a resolution of better than 1.5 nm.

**Figure 3. Schematic wiring diagram of the translator and stator electrodes. The translator electrodes alternate between $V_b$ and ground while a seven phase voltage pattern described in Table 1 is applied to the stator electrodes.**
the equilibrium position of the flexures. As the bias voltage is increased from 0 V, the electrostatic potential soon dominates the mechanical potential of the flexures and the translator moves slightly to position itself at the equilibrium point of the electrostatic force curve as shown in Figure 4. Continuing to increase the bias voltage causes no further translation. Referring to Figure 4, the amplitude of the sinusoidal force curve increases but the position of the zero crossing does not change and thus the motor remains “locked” in its rest position.

To move the motor, the electrode voltages must be stepped as described in Table 1. This shifts the potential well and moves the translator. The flexures restrain the translator however, causing the motor to ride up the force curve. Shifting the voltage pattern continues to move the translator until the spring force is larger than the maximum electrostatic force \( F_{\text{max}} \). At this point, maximum displacement for this particular bias voltage is reached and the motor snaps into a potential well that is closer to the origin. We denote the sum of the maximum translator displacements in negative and positive directions as the motor travel. The motor travel as a function of bias voltage is plotted in Figure 6. The travel increases at larger applied voltage. This effect is clearly seen in Figure 6 where the resonant frequency increases from 127 Hz to 1.6 kHz – more than a 10× improvement – as the bias voltage is increased from 0 to 30 V. This increase occurs because the resonant frequency \( f_0 \) depends on both the spring constant of the flexures \( k_f \) and the spring constant of the

\[
\text{Available Force, N} = \frac{F_{\text{max}}}{A} \times \frac{m g}{A}
\]

where the mass \( m \) can be calculated as 0.765 mg directly from its area and thickness. This implies that the spring constant of the flexures \( k_f \) is 0.49 N/m and the effective thickness is 1.0 µm.

In contrast to other electrostatic actuators, the lateral resonant frequency depends approximately linearly on the applied voltage. This effect is clearly seen in Figure 6 where the resonant frequency increases from 127 Hz to 1.5 kHz. As the bias voltage increases, the motor reaches hard stops at ±25 µm.

\[
\text{Available Force, µN} = \frac{F_{\text{max}}}{A} \times \frac{m g}{A}
\]

This implies that the spring constant of the flexures \( k_f \) is 0.49 N/m and the effective thickness is 1.0 µm.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The dipole stepping motor design allows these devices to provide large forces while traveling long distances along two directions. Figure 6 shows the characterization of a device with particularly narrow flexures. With no applied voltage, the rest position of the translator is determined by

\[
\text{Resonant Freq., Hz} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{k_f}{m}}
\]

where the mass \( m \) can be calculated as 0.765 mg directly from its area and thickness. This implies that the spring constant of the flexures \( k_f \) is 0.49 N/m and the effective thickness is 1.0 µm.

In contrast to other electrostatic actuators, the lateral resonant frequency depends approximately linearly on the applied voltage. This effect is clearly seen in Figure 6 where the resonant frequency increases from 127 Hz to 1.5 kHz. As the bias voltage increases, the motor reaches hard stops at ±25 µm.

\[
\text{Available Force, µN} = \frac{F_{\text{max}}}{A} \times \frac{m g}{A}
\]

This implies that the spring constant of the flexures \( k_f \) is 0.49 N/m and the effective thickness is 1.0 µm.

In contrast to other electrostatic actuators, the lateral resonant frequency depends approximately linearly on the applied voltage. This effect is clearly seen in Figure 6 where the resonant frequency increases from 127 Hz to 1.5 kHz. As the bias voltage increases, the motor reaches hard stops at ±25 µm.
Figure 8. Measured motor position as the voltage on one of the seven independent stator electrodes is changed by 1/256th of the bias voltage. The voltage toggles every 0.5 seconds.

electrostatic potential \( k_{es} \). In particular, \( f_0 \) can be expressed as:

\[
f_0 = \left(\frac{V}{2\pi}\right)\sqrt{\left(k_{es} + k_f\right)/m}.
\] (1)

The electrostatic spring constant \( k_{es} \) is the slope of the force curve at the motor position. If the translator position is near the equilibrium point, \( k_{es} = (\pi p_i) F_x^{max} \). Since the analytical model of the motor [10] predicts that \( F_{x,\max} \) grows as \( V_b^2 \), \( f_0 \) depends linearly on \( V_b \) in the range that \( k_{es} > k_f \).

Figure 7 shows the resonant frequency and available force for \( x \) and \( y \) directions of a motor with 2.4-µm-wide flexures. This motor has a smaller \( x \) axis stage than the motor shown in Figure 1 and thus \( f_0 \) for the \( x \) axis is only slightly smaller (~10%) than that for the \( y \) axis at zero \( V_b \). As expected from Eq. (1), the resonant frequency increases linearly with \( V_b \) for voltages at which \( k_{es} > k_f \). There is very little damping of the motors in-plane and the Q measured for these resonances is ~200. Any vibration normal to the surface is strongly damped by squeeze film damping. The available force is calculated by inserting the resonant frequency in Eq. (1). We find that this motor can generate ~200 µN in both axes. The \( x \) and \( y \) forces are slightly different because the \( y \) electrode area is slightly larger than the \( x \) electrode area.

The electrostatic model [10] and the motor data can be used to calculate an effective electrical gap for these motors. The data of Figures 6 and 7 predict effective electrical gaps of 3.3 µm and 3.6 µm, respectively, although mechanical and SEM measurements confirmed a gap of ~2.6 µm.

The resolution inherent in the motor design is exhibited in Figure 8. A tilting mirror was attached to the motor to measure its position. As the applied voltage was changed by 1/256th of the bias voltage, the motor repeatably moves 1.5 nm. The measurement noise of ~0.3 nm arises from ambient vibrations in the tilting mirror. Using this same experimental setup, the open-loop position accuracy is plotted in Figure 9. The motor position is shown as a function of time as the motor returns to zero from positions 3, 5, and 10 µm away in both directions. The motor returns to the same position within 4 nm. The small offset is mostly due to some charging of the dielectric between the electrodes. For stability on longer time scales (~days), we found it necessary to control the ambient environment of the motor by enclosing it in a \( \text{N}_2 \) atmosphere.

CONCLUSIONS

We have demonstrated an electrostatic dipole stepping motor that provides large force and long travel. At a 60 V bias, it moves 50 µm and generates 200 µN. Moreover, it exhibits an open loop accuracy of ~ 4 nm. This actuator will be important for enabling a variety of positioning applications.
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